



A MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING MODEL FOR OPTIMUM ECONOMIC PLANNING OF THE SAUDI ARABIAN PETROCHEMICAL INDUSTRY

Hesham K. Alfares¹, Adnan M. Jarallah Al-Amer², Shaikh Saifuddin³

1: Associate Professor, Systems Engineering Department, KFUPM

2: Professor, Chemical Engineering Department, KFUPM

3: Research Assistant, Chemical Engineering Department, KFUPM

Corresponding address: P.O. Box 5067, KFUPM, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

Email: hesham@ccse.kfupm.edu.sa

ABSTRACT

A new mixed integer linear programming model is formulated and used to model the development of the petrochemical industry in Saudi Arabia. The proposed model features a new mathematical programming formulation, new products and processes, new variables and constraints, and more accurate estimates of production costs based on local conditions. The products considered in the model are classified into four main categories: aromatics, ethylene derivatives, propylene derivatives, and synthetic gas derivatives. The model is used to recommend petrochemical products, their respective capacities, and the corresponding production technologies. Utilization of results is discussed and sensitivity analysis is performed.

Keywords: *Petrochemical Industry, Integer Programming, Optimization, Industrial Planning*

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the unique characteristics of the petrochemical industry is the great interaction between feedstocks, technologies, and products and byproducts. For the production of many petrochemicals, there may be more than one process technology involving different combinations of feedstocks and by-products. Several alternative derivatives (with different capacities) can be derived from a certain petrochemical feedstock. The supply/demand situation and competitive production depends on the specific opportunity and is sometimes location specific. Also the available capital and feedstock quantity is limited. Obviously, all of these factors complicate the selection of the optimal opportunities. This requires establishment of a model for the development of the petrochemical industry to find the optimal structure of this industry.

Saudi Arabia has a small domestic petrochemical market and a large raw materials resource base, and hence, the Kingdom's development of the petrochemical industry is a logical consequence of this situation. The industry is export-oriented, with its attendant potential for higher value-added products as well as the other economic benefits of industrialization. Saudi Arabian Basic Industries Corporation (SABIC) was established in 1976 to undertake and lead the development of the petrochemical industry. In recent years, new large size private companies have been established in Saudi Arabia to undertake the construction and operation of petrochemical plants.

In order to obtain an estimated structure for the petrochemical industry in the Gulf Cooperation Council countries (GCC), [Wagialla et al. 1986] suggested a strategy for the Gulf region based strictly on satisfying the endogenous demand; it does not address the exogenous factors. [Al-Fadli et al. 1988] developed a network model for the optimal planning of petroleum refinery production in Saudi Arabia. By extension, the model considered the production of a limited number (eight) of basic petrochemicals. [Sayar et al. 1988] used the results of linear programming to suggest a plan for the optimal petrochemical industry in the GCC member states in order to meet the local demand in the first place and to cover a small part of the world demand.

[Bok et al. 1998] developed a multi-period mixed integer nonlinear model aimed at planning the long-range capacity expansion for chemical processing networks under uncertain demand forecast scenarios. They found that it is effective when they applied it to the case of investment planning in Korean petrochemical industry. [Bardesi et al. 1997] analyzed the role of multi-national corporations and foreign direct investment (FDI) on growth of Saudi Arabia's petrochemical industry, using polynomial distributed lag models to estimate the effects of FDI on imports and exports.

[Al-Sharrah et al. 2001] developed a model with an environmental objective so that only profitable and environmentally friendly processes are chosen. They selected the optimal set of processes meeting the objective function of sustainability. They quantified sustainability by a health index of the chemicals and represented increasing profit by process added value. They applied the model to plan Kuwait petrochemical industry.

[Al-Amer et al., 1998] presented a modeling approach adopted for the future development of Saudi integrated petrochemical industry. Their model considers a relatively large number of petrochemical products that are mainly aimed for export to the international market and not limited to domestic consumption only. The model incorporates the minimum economic production restrictions for each process. This work involves the modification of the latter model to incorporate new and different variables and constraints, and more accurate estimates of production costs based on local conditions. The new model has been used for screening and selection of the optimum petrochemical products for the Saudi petrochemical industry.

2. PRODUCTS INCLUDED IN THIS STUDY

In recent years, there has been a lot of interest from local companies and investors in new petrochemical products as the industry want to diversify its product portfolio and thus are competing for new and attractive projects. The petrochemical products included in this study are the ones believed in general, to enjoy high market growth and/or are missing from the regional production chain. It should be mentioned in here that no market analysis was done in this study. Also it is assumed that the technology is available.

Twenty three (23) petrochemical products and fifty four (54) production processes have been chosen on the basis of economic advantage, international demand, and environmental impact. The chosen products are classified into four categories: propylene derivatives, ethylene derivatives, synthesis gas derivatives, and aromatics or BTX (benzene, toluene, and xylene) derivatives. It must be pointed out that phenol is classified as both a propylene derivative and a BTX derivative. The individual products within each category are listed below.

2.1. Propylene derivatives

- Polypropylene homopolymer
- Polypropylene block copolymer
- Acrylic Acid
- N-Butanol
- Polypropylene copolymer
- Phenol
- Propylene Oxide
- Cumene

2.2. Ethylene derivatives

- Poly Vinyl Chloride (PVC)
- Vinyl Chloride Monomer (VCM)
- Vinyl Acetate
- Polyethylene linear low density
- Poly Vinyl Chloride dispersion
- Ethylene Glycol (EG)
- Polyethylene high density
- Polyethylene low density

2.3. Synthesis gas derivatives

- Acetic Acid
- Ammonia
- Formaldehyde
- Methanol

2.4. BTX derivatives

- Styrene
- Phthalic Anhydride
- Phenol
- Purified Terephthalic Acid (PTA)

3. BINARY INTEGER LP MODEL

The model includes 162 binary (0-1) variables and 26 constraints. The formulation of this binary integer linear programming model is described below.

3.1. Parameters

- b_{jp} = input coefficients of raw material p in process j
- = tons consumed of raw material p per ton produced of main product of process j
- C_{jk} = production cost (\$/ton) of process j per unit of main product if capacity level is k
- D = total available capital (\$M)
- E_i = export selling price of product i per unit (\$/ton)
- Q_{jk} = production of process j (tons of main product /year) at capacity level k
- R_p = available feedstock of raw material p (tons/year)
- S_i = set of all processes in which the main product is i
- V_{jk} = investment cost for process j at capacity k (\$M)

3.2. Subscripts

- i = product or by-product number, $i = 1, \dots, 23$ (23 = number of products)
- j = process number, $j = 1, \dots, 54$ (54 = number of processes)
- k = capacity level indicator, $k = l, m, h$ ($k = l$: low, $k = m$: medium, $k = h$: high)
- p = raw material number, $p = 1, \dots, 2$ (2 = number of limited raw materials)

3.3. Variables

- H_j = 0-1 variable, = 1 if process j with high capacity is used, = 0 otherwise
- L_j = 0-1 variable, = 1 if process j with low capacity is used, = 0 otherwise
- M_j = 0-1 variable, = 1 if process j with medium capacity is used, = 0 otherwise

3.4. Objective

The objective of the model is to maximize the total annual profit, which can be expressed as (1) below. Although costs C_{ik} and prices E_i may slightly fluctuate, their relative ratios remain fairly stable over time.

$$\text{Maximize } Z = \sum_{j=1}^{54} (E_j - C_{jl})Q_{jl}L_j + (E_j - C_{jm})Q_{jm}M_j + (E_j - C_{jh})Q_{jh}H_j \quad (1)$$

3.5. Raw material constraints

These constraints ensure the total annual consumption of each raw material p does not exceed its annual availability R_p . The two limited-availability raw materials used are propylene and ethylene.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{54} b_{jp} (Q_{jl}L_j + Q_{jm}M_j + Q_{jh}H_j) \leq R_p, \quad p = 1, 2 \quad (2)$$

3.6. Budget constraint

This constraint ensures that the total initial investment does not exceed the available budget.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{54} (V_{jl}L_j + V_{jm}M_j + V_{jh}H_j) \leq D \quad (3)$$

3.7. Unique production-process and production-level constraints

These constraints ensure that, for each product, only one production process is chosen. At the same time, these constraints ensure that only one production level is chosen (zero, low, medium, or high) for each process.

$$\sum_{j \in S_i} L_j + M_j + H_j \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, 23 \quad (4)$$

4. INPUT DATA

In this work, the above model is applied to the petrochemical products listed above. The information and data needed for this study include, selected technologies, data needed to calculate the production cost such as fixed cost, raw material and energy requirements etc., and product price. These are obtained from [SRI (1993), Petrochemical Processes (1999), and Peters and Timmerhaus (1991)]. Again, it should be noted in here that this study did not take into consideration the market information, i.e., supply/demand of the products. It is simply assumed

that there is sufficient demand. However, when up to date information and resources for good market study are available, the demand can be incorporated in the model as a constraint. For each derivative, the following data and calculations have been obtained or done:

1. Select relevant technologies along with the necessary data to calculate the production cost. Tables 1-4 summarize these derivatives and corresponding technologies and capacities for propylene, ethylene, synthesis gas, and BTX.
2. Production cost is calculated for each product/technology/capacity for the year 2000 at Saudi location. The details of this calculation are explained below.
3. The model sums up the capital cost and quantity of raw material (used to calculate production cost as in Tables 1-4) of the selected product to satisfy the constraints on these parameters.
4. The product price is obtained by taking an average international price over ten years. The international prices are generally cyclical but do not have a particular trend. The ten-year period covers as much fluctuation as possible.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1. Calculation of Production Cost

Tables 1-4 show the production cost for each product using each available technology at three production levels. For example, for polypropylene copolymer alone, we selected three technologies, and so the number of production cost calculations is $3 \times 3 = 9$. The total number of production cost calculations for all products and associated technologies and capacities included in this work is $3 \times 54 = 162$. The following procedure is used to calculate production cost:

- Capital cost is updated to year 2000 by using the cost index ratio. This is multiplied by the Saudi location factor of 1.1 to find the estimated cost for Saudi location.
- An average price for the raw material at Saudi location is taken to be \$320/ton for ethylene and \$350/ton for propylene.
- The cost of utilities is taken for Saudi location and included.
- Total number of operating labor is estimated to be 4.5 times number of operating labor per shift.
- Other costs such as supervision, maintenance, and general expenses are estimated using typical percentages given in relevant references such as Peters and Timmerhaus (1991).
- More details on production cost calculations, including a sample table, can be found in Alfares and Al-Amer (2002).

5.2. Recommended Optimal Products

In order to run the integer-programming model, the maximum values (constraints) of the available investment budget D and raw material availability R_1 (for propylene) and R_2 (for ethylene) were assumed to be two billion U.S. Dollars and one million tons per year, respectively. These two values are representative of the available capital for a large size company (similar to SABIC) and of the potentially available feedstock of propylene and ethylene in Saudi Arabia (which is now exported as LPG). In order to have a broader perspective, it seemed worthwhile to also consider the midpoints of these values. Assuming equal availability R of both propylene and ethylene, the input values into the model are given as:

$$D = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ (\$ billion),}$$

$$R = R_1 = R_2 = 0.5 \text{ or } 1 \text{ (million tons/year)}$$

There are four cases (combinations of values for the two parameters D and R). The model was run once for each combination, producing different optimum selections of products (and byproducts) and processes for each combination. One process (process number 36: acetic acid by Low pressure carbonylation, supported Rh. catalyst) is chosen under all parameter variations. The detailed results are presented in Table 5. Four products are always chosen under all parameter values: propylene copolymer, linear low-density polyethylene, acetic acid, and styrene.

Table 1. Propylene data used in the model

Product No. i & Price E_i (\$/ton)	Process No. j & Name	Propylene used/ton b_{j1}	Variable L_j, M_j, H_j	Capacity Q_{jk} 10^3 (ton/yr)	Prod. Cost C_{jk} (\$/ton)	Investment V_{jk} (\$M)
1. Polypropylene Copolymer 975	1. Amoco/Chisso	0.9480	L1	70	724	55.0
			M1	135	667	81.1
			H1	270	632	131.6
	2. BASF	0.9432	L2	75	757	58.0
			M2	150	692	85.1
			H2	300	654	132.4
3. Himont	0.9490	L3	77.5	734	60.2	
		M3	155	669	86.8	
		H3	310	631	134.1	
2. Polypropylene Block copolymer 975	4. Sumitomo (Gas Phase)	0.9546	L4	70	738	55.1
			M4	145	673	83.1
			H4	290	637	132.0
	5. UCC\Shell	0.9550	L5	47.5	804	43.3
			M5	95	734	66.8
			H5	190	686	104.3
3. Polypropylene Homopolymer 780	6. Borealis	1.0450	L6	40	962	66.2
			M6	80	815	92.8
			H6	160	754	153.2
	7. UCC\Shell	1.0500	L7	40	793	40.0
			M7	80	713	61.4
			H7	160	659	95.1
4. Phenol 735	8. from C6H6/C3H8 via cumene	0.5103	L8	45	839	106.6
			M8	90	641	151.7
			H8	180	527	231.5
5. Acrylic acid (Ester grade) 1450	9. Two-stage oxidation	0.6289	L9	40	961	82.8
			M9	80	820	125.4
			H9	160	744	207.0
6. Propylene Oxide 1130	10. Arco Process (styrene product)	0.8648	L10	90	1024	233.5
			M10	180	884	390.7
			H10	360	808	698.7
	11. Texaco (T butanol byproduct)	0.9546	L11	90	963	185.8
			M11	180	856	304.5
			H11	360	799	537.1
	12. Chlorohydrin	0.8265	L12	90	1064	119.0
			M12	180	972	179.4
			H12	360	919	289.2
	13. Arco Process (T butanol byproduct)	0.7875	L13	90	972	212.3
			M13	180	873	362.7
			H13	360	819	657.7
14. Cell Liquor Neutralization	0.8101	L14	90	1176	109.8	
		M14	180	1092	164.3	
		H14	360	1042	263.1	
15. Shell Process (Styrene byproduct)	0.8782	L15	90	1034	221.7	
		M15	180	728	376.1	
		H15	360	665	672.7	
7. N- Butanol 830	16. via Cobalt Hydrocarbonyl Catalyst	0.8150	L16	50	828	115.5
			M16	100	687	180.4
			H16	200	586	287.4
	17. via N Butryaldehyde Rh Catalyst	0.6994	L17	50	698	63.7
			M17	100	620	100.2
			H17	200	558	156.3
8. Cumene 450	18. from C6H6 & Propylene	0.3784	L18	60	609	23.1
			M18	120	517	33.2
			H18	240	503	50.7

Table 2. Ethylene data used in the model

Product No. i & Price E_i (\$/ton)	Process No. j & Name	Ethylene used/ton b_{j2}	Variable L_j, M_j, H_j	Capacity Q_{jk} 10^3 (ton/yr)	Prod. Cost C_{jk} (\$/ton)	Investment V_{jk} (\$M)
9. Poly Vinyl Chloride (PVC) 740	19. Suspension Polymerization		L19	100	676	117.6
			M19	200	626	186.0
			H19	400	593	307.5
	20. Bulk Polymerization		L20	50	660	62.5
			M20	100	631	114.0
			H20	300	546	209.6
10. PVC Dispersion 1250	21. Batch Emulsion Polymerization		L21	25	1145	73.1
			M21	50	966	101.1
			H21	100	860	148.0
	22. Continuous Emulsion Polymerization		L22	25	959	46.5
			M22	50	861	70.7
			H22	100	795	110.1
11. Vinyl Chloride 430	23. TOSOH Technology		L23	125	510	49.2
			M23	250	494	74.4
			H23	500	482	112.8
	24. Pyrolysis		L24	125	548	79.1
			M24	250	538	144.2
			H24	500	528	258.1
	25. Chlorination/Oxychlorination	0.4678	L25	250	406	134.0
			M25	500	390	229.9
			H25	1000	377	392.2
12. Ethylene Glycol 600	26. Hydration of EO: all EO for EG	0.7267	L26	90	558	142.6
			M26	180	500	234.8
			H26	360	460	397.5
13. Vinyl Acetate 690	27. From ethylene and acetic acid	0.3930	L27	67.5	798	82.7
			M27	135	749	133.6
			H27	200	732	181.3
14. High Density Polyethylene 860	28. UCC Process	1.0200	L28	70	601	56.9
			M28	135	556	84.5
			H28	270	525	131.5
	29. Du Pont Process	1.02	L29	70	636	63.4
			M29	135	574	84.5
			H29	270	547	136.9
	30. Philips Process	1.02	L30	70	636	66.5
			M30	135	583	96.2
			H30	270	548	147.7
15. Linear Low Density Polyethylene 900	31. Dry Mode Gas phase Univation Process	0.9461	L31	100	557	51.4
			M31	200	534	83.0
			H31	400	521	144.5
	32. Bimodal grade mixed Mettallocene Ziegler catalyst	0.9387	L32	75	643	46.9
			M32	150	601	66.0
			H32	300	576	98.6
	33. Bimodal grade Unipol process	0.943	L33	122.5	751	82.4
			M33	245	710	116.6
			H33	490	686	175.6
16. Low Density Polyethylene 870	34. High pressure tubular reactor	1.06	L34	50	697	72.0
			M34	100	639	117.7
			H34	200	602	199.7

Table 3. Synthesis gas data used in the model

Product No. i & Price E_i (\$/ton)	Process No. j & Name	NG Feed* used/ton b_{j3}	Variable L_j, M_j, H_j	Capacity Q_{jk} 10^3 (ton/yr)	Prod. Cost C_{jk} (\$/ton)	Investment V_{jk} (\$M)
17. Acetic acid 480	35. Low pressure carbonylation. Rh catalyst solution		L35	182.5	346	125.6
			M35	365	305	195.9
			H35	540	290	259.6
	36. Low pressure carbonylation supported Rh. catalyst		L36	182.5	330	116.4
			M36	365	282	168.2
			H36	540	264	213.5
	37. Low pressure carbonylation Rh Halide catalyst		L37	180	359	133.2
			M37	360	306	196.3
			H37	550	281	248.9
18. Ammonia 160	38. ICI AMV Process	6.35	L38	300	161	210.9
			M38	430	151	278.2
			H38	590	144	356
	39. MW Kellog Process	5.928	L39	300	176	243.5
			M39	430	166	322.4
			H39	590	157	412.6
	40. ICI LCA Process	6.678	L40	105	184	87
			M40	170	161	119.5
			H40	340	139	196.7
19. Formaldehyde 500	41. From Methanol using Silver catalyst		L41	15	435	15.3
			M41	25	388	20.2
			H41	50	353	32.7
	42. From Methanol using Fe Mo catalyst		L42	15	458	17.9
			M42	25	423	26.2
			H42	50	388	44.9
20. Methanol 150	43. Lurgi process	7.867	L43	415	133	224.6
			M43	830	116	365.5
			H43	1660	111	682.1
	44. ICI process copper catalyst	7.778	L44	415	136	228.5
			M44	830	121	384.6
			H44	1660	117	727.6
	45. ICI LCM process	7.661	L45	415	125	199.1
			M45	830	118	371.5
			H45	1660	113	702.9

* Natural gas (NG) is assumed to be available in unlimited quantity

Table 4. BTX data used in the model

Product No. i & Price E_i (\$/ton)	Process No. j & Name	Ethylene used/ton b_{j2}	Variable L_j, M_j, H_j	Capacity Q_{jk} 10^3 (ton/yr)	Prod. Cost C_{jk} (\$/ton)	Investment V_{jk} (\$M)
21. Styrene 760	46. Liquid Phase Alkyl/Adiabatic Dehydrogenation	0.2891	L46	225	470	116.9
			M46	450	455	190
			H46	680	450	265.1
	47. Liquid Phase Alkyl Oxidative Reheating	0.2878	L47	225	480	115.6
			M47	450	466	191.8
	H47	680	461	266.8		
48. Vapor phase Alkyl/adiabatic Dehydrogenation		0.2843	L48	225	469	125.2
			M48	450	450	192.7
		H48	680	445	269	
49. Vapor phase Alkyl/Isothermal Dehydrogenation		0.2874	L49	225	474	125.2
			M49	450	458	202
	H49	680	453	285.5		
22. Pthalic Anhydride 700	50. Attochem/ Nippon		L50	13	748	26
			M50	25	655	40.8
			H50	50	568	63.9
51. from O-Xylene by Alsuisse Italia Process			L51	13	714	27.7
			M51	25	614	39.6
			H51	50	546	56.9
4. Phenol 735	52. Liquid Phase Oxidation of Toluene		L52	45	816	108.8
			M52	90	711	157.2
			H52	180	659	251.6
23. PTA 680	53. Hydrolysis of Dimethyl Terephthalate		L53	125	651	208.1
			M53	250	583	308.6
			H53	500	551	515.5
	54. from P-Xylene Bromine promoted air oxidation		L54	125	627	170.5
	M54	250	580	267.3		
	H54	500	554	452.7		

For each case of D and R , the total profit margin and the simple rate of return on investment (ROR) are shown in Table 5. The annual profit range is \$674-1,101.57 millions, while the annual ROR range is 43.5-73.6%. The maximum profit is obtained in Case 4 ($D = \$2$ billions and $R = 1$ million tons/year), and the maximum ROR is obtained in Case 2 ($D = \$1$ billion and $R = 1$ million tons/year). These numbers indicate excellent and highly profitable investment opportunities for new petrochemical projects in Saudi Arabia.

Table 5. Optimum solution for the four cases of budget D and raw material availability R

Case	1	2	3	4
D ($\$10^6$)	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000
$R = R_1 = R_2$ (10^3 tons/year)	500	1,000	500	1,000
Profit ($\$10^6$ /year)	674	735.98	869.76	1,101.57
Return on investment	67.4%	73.6%	43.5%	55.1%
Processes chosen	H1, M4, H9, H32, H36, H46	H3, H4, H28, H31, M36, M41, H48	H1, H9, H17, H20, H22, H32, H36, H41, H48, H51, H54	H3, H4, H7, H9, H17, H20, H22, H28, H31, M34, H36, M41, H48, H51
Range of D ($\$10^6$)	998.9 – 1002.7	999.5 – 1008	1938 – 2000.7	1997.5 – 2006
Range of R_1 (10^3 tons/year)	496 – 505	572 – ∞	497 – 517	981 – 1071
Range of R_2 (10^3 tons/year)	479 – 572	849 – ∞	476 – 521	955 – 1060

5.3. Sensitivity analysis

Table 5 displays the results of sensitivity analysis performed to test the sensitivity of the model to changes in the input values of parameters D , R_1 , and R_2 . For each of the four cases presented above, the values of R_1 and R_2 were fixed while determining the range of D for which the solution remains valid. A similar procedure was used for determining the ranges of R_1 and R_2 , varying one parameter at a time while fixing the values of the two other parameters. The model was found to be fairly sensitive to the values of all three parameters, but most sensitive to investment budget D and least sensitive to ethylene availability R_2 . In terms of the four cases, Case 1 is the most sensitive to parameter variation, while Case 2 is the least sensitive.

6. CONCLUSIONS

An efficient integer programming model has been formulated for planning and screening investment opportunities in new petrochemical products in Saudi Arabia. Production costs of the petrochemical products based on Saudi conditions using various technologies and capacities have been calculated and presented. The optimal solution from the model gave the recommended products under different scenarios of available capital and raw material. The recommended products include propylene copolymer, linear low-density polyethylene, acetic acid, and styrene. Sensitivity analysis was performed and revealed that the model was fairly sensitive to availability of capital and raw materials. The ranges of these parameters under which the results are valid are reported.

LP models such as the one presented in this paper can be used in the initial screening of alternative investment options, as the first stage of feasibility studies when there is a large number

of opportunities. One of the objectives of this paper is to demonstrate the applicability of mathematical programming models to problems similar to those faced by SABIC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The support of the King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals and SABIC under Project #SABIC/2000-07 is duly acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Amer, A.M., Al-Fares, H. and Faiz-ur-Rahman, 1998, "Towards modeling the development of the petrochemical industry in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia", *Arabian Journal of Science and Engineering*, 23 (1B), pp 113-126.
2. Al-Fadli, A.M., Soliman, M.A., Wagialla, K.M. and Al-Mumtaz, I.S., 1988, "A network model for the optimal planning of the Saudi petrochemical industry," *Journal of Engineering Science*, 14 (2) pp 295-309.
3. Al-Fares, H., Al-Amer, A.M., 2002, *A Model for the Optimal Planning of the Saudi Arabian Petrochemical Industry*, Final Report on Research Project #SABIC/2000-07, Research Committee, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals.
4. Al-Sharrah, G.K., Alatiqi, I., Elkamel, A. and Alper, E., 2001, "Planning an Integrated Petrochemical Industry with an Environmental Objective", *Industrial Engineering and Chemistry Research*, 40 (9), pp 2103-2111.
5. Bardesi, J.H, Davies, S. and Ozawa, T., 1997, "Inward foreign direct investment, industrial development, and trade: the case of the Saudi petrochemical industry," *Journal of Energy & Development*, 22 (1), pp 93-106.
6. Bok, J-K., Lee, H. and Park, S., 1998, "Robust investment model for long range capacity expansion", *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 22 (7-8), pp 1037-1049.
7. Peters, M.S., and Timmerhaus, K.D., 1991, *Plant Design and Economics for Chemical Engineers*, McGraw-Hill.
8. Petrochemical Processes 99, *Hydrocarbon Processing*, March 1999.
9. Sayar, M.M., Soliman, M.A., Wagialla, K.M. and McGreavy, C., 1988, "Optimal planning of the petrochemical industry in the Arabian Gulf Cooperation Council countries", *Process Economics International*, 14 (3-4), pp 141-146.
10. SRI, 1993, PEP Reports.
11. Wagialla, K.M., Soliman, M.A. and Sayar, M., 1986, "A review of the optimal planning of the petrochemical industry with a preliminary assessment of the Arabian Gulf countries situation," *Journal of Engineering Science*, 12 (1) pp 141-155.